⊵yr"	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	09/813,152	NAKABAYASHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lan Vinh	1765
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Lan Vinh</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert Goozner.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 April 2004.		
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative	:]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 2.7.		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Inou</u> e		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. of	ı)	I/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: 5-20 below	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no dallowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse s	last Office action has already THE MAILING DATE OF THIS OF THE SUBSTANCE OF TH	been filed, APPLICANT IS S INTERVIEW SUMMARY
Mr. Goozner discusses the distingu	ish between the c	laimed inventor and
Mr. Goozner discusses the distingu Trove. Possible amendment to claim	2 was durissed	as well as the
support for the added limitations		:
•.		
	Λ	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Vile	
Attachment to a signed Office action	Fyaminer's sign	ature if required